

Title 12 Recodification Project
Motor Vehicle & Powersports Dealer Statutes
Friday, July 7, 2017, 2 pm
Tuesday, July 11, 2017, 2 pm
Room 354, State Capitol

Article & Topic	Staff
Article 6 of Title 12: Moving motor vehicle dealer & powersports dealer statutes to a new title 44.	Jery Payne Thomas Morris

People present:

Jep Seman
Jeannie Vanderburg
Alexandra Garza
Brittany Wirth
Bruce Zulauf
Christine Staberg
Edie Busam
Gerald Abboud
Jean Robinson
Michael J. Dommermuth
Michael F. Feeley,
Blake Kuipers
Tim Jackson
Todd O'Connor
Arnold Hanuman

Article 6 — Title 12

Jery Payne, Office of Legislative Legal Services, explained the details and goals of the [Title 12 Recodification Project](#). In accordance with the project, staff proposes relocating the motor vehicle and powersports-vehicle dealer and manufacturer statutes to a newly created title 44, C.R.S., which will contain statutes relating to occupations regulated by the Colorado Department of Revenue. Jery also proposed three options for the relocation:

- The first option is to move article 6 of title 12, C.R.S., to a new article 20 of title 44, C.R.S. This will entail making only minimal changes to the current language and structure of these statutes.
- The second option is to move article 6 of title 12, C.R.S., to new articles 20 to 22 of title 44, C.R.S., and to amalgamate part 1 (motor vehicles) with part 5 (powersports vehicles).

- The third option is to move article 6 of title 12, C.R.S., to new articles 20 to 22 of title 44, C.R.S., to amalgamate the duplicative portions of parts 1 and 5 and to separate the unique portions of both parts into new articles.

There was general agreement with the first option.

Michael Dommermuth expressed concern about amalgamating parts 1 and 5. He explained that the motor vehicle dealers would rather keep powersports and motor vehicle dealers separate, which he believes makes the statutes easier to understand. There was general discussion about this concern. Bruce Zulauf pointed out that most of the provisions of these parts are nearly and substantially identical, and that amalgamating parts 1 and 5 would be easier to administer, but he also expressed a desire to move forward on a consensus basis. Michael said he would talk to the Colorado Automobile Dealers Association about the third option to get their feedback.

Jery Payne stated an intention to send a draft to the interested parties in late September or early October. When the draft is available it will also be posted to the [Title 12 Recodification Project](#) website.

Jep Seman asked about the decision-making process. Jery explained that the decision as to what option to move forward would be made by the Committee on Legal Services. Their next meeting is on October 11, 2017. The proposed plan is to introduce two bills: both the simple move and a reorganization. This would ensure that these provisions are at least moved to the new title 44.

Bruce Zulauf explained that there is a technical problem with the powersports vehicle statutes. He proposed that each bill contain a fix for this problem. There was general agreement with this suggestion.

It was suggested that the bill not take effect until January 1, 2019. There was general agreement with this suggestion.